

**CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION**  
I hereby certify that this paper or fee is being  
electronically filed with the United States Patent  
and Trademark Office on the date indicated below.  
Date: September 17, 2008

/Barbara MacDougall/  
Barbara MacDougall

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Applicant:	Roberts, Larry LeMaitre	)	Examiner: Le, Khanh H.
Serial No.:	10/620,219	)	TC/A.U. 3688
Filed:	07/14/2003	)	Confirmation No: 8721
Title:	Method of Capturing Customer	)	Customer No: <b>61894</b>
	Transaction Data by Routine	)	
	Declining of Authorization Request	)	Docket No: 00920.P2US

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION**

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed March 18, 2008, applicant respectfully requests that the following amendment and response be entered in the file:

**CONTENTS**

**I. Introductory Comments** begin on page 2 of this paper.

**II. Amendments to the Claims** are reflected in the listing of claims which begins on page 3 of this paper.

**III. Amendments to the Drawings** begin on page 5 of this paper and include both an attached replacement sheet and an annotated sheet showing changes.

**IV. Remarks/Arguments** begin on page 6 of this paper.

## **I. INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS**

The Examiner has indicated that claims 1 - 20 are pending in the application; that corrected drawings are required; that claims 1-20 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of copending Application No. 11/378,119; that claims 1-9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention; that claims 1-4, 6-11, 13-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as unpatentable over Dorf et al. (US 6,000,608) in view of Graves (US 6,575,361); and that claims 5 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as unpatentable over Dorf in view of Graves as applied to claims 4 and 9 above, and further in view of Burke (US 5,621,640).

In response to, and in accordance with, the Examiner's indications, Applicant, by this Amendment, has canceled claims 3, 6, and 9-19, amended claims 1-2, 4-5, 7-8, and 20, and has submitted new drawings in accordance with the Examiner's objections.